United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

74-1625

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPIALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel. ANDREW LEE STEWART,

Petitioner-Appellant,

-against-

THE HONDRABLE LEON J. VINCENT, Superintendent, Green Haven Correctional Facility, Stormville, New York,

Respondent-Appellee.

Docket No. 74-1625



APPENDIX TO PET IT IONER-APPELLANT'S BRIEF

ON APPEAL FROM AN ORDER OF
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE FASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
DENYING A PETITION FOR WRIT OF FABEAS CORPUS



WILLIAM J. GALLAGHER, ESQ.,
THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY,
Attorney for PetitionerAppellant
FEDERAL DEFENDER SERVICES UNIT
606 United States Court House
Foley Square
New York, New York 10007
(212) 732-2971

SHEILA GINSBERG, Of Counsel PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

50 1446 DOCKET

HABEAS CORPUS

TITLE OF CASE			ORPUS		1.09	
UNITED STATES OF				_i	ATTORNE	×3 ·
ex rel. ANDREW LE	AMERICA		-	For Plain	Andrew	Too CA
	#17212 Pro Se Drawer B - Stormville,					
LEON VINCENT, Su						
Green Haven Corr	ection 3	dent o	of	_	n.	Y. 12582
	ecciona i	Fac1]	lity	-		
				For Defend	ant:	
				-		
	•			ļ <u> </u>	· ·	
BASIS OF ACTION:						
			•			
A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE						
JURY TRIAL CLAIMED	*		•			
ON .						
DAT PLAINTIFF'S ACCOUNT RECEIVED	DISBÚRSED	DATE	DEFENDANT			ESTATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART
2-25-73 FORMA PAUPERIS -			DEFENDANT	S ACCOUNT	RECEIVED	CISEUPTED
				·		
			1 4			
						- 1
		1				
				1		-+-
				N.	++-	
ABSTRACT OF COSTS					++-	- Care
TO WHOM DUE AMOUNT		R	ECEIPTS. REMA	RKS. ETC.	1.	,
						1
					- 1	(
			,			
				<u>:</u>		
					-	

73-C-1446 U.S.A. ex rel. ANDREW LEE STEWART VS. LECH VINCENT, Superintendent etc

DATE	FILINGS—PROCEEDINGS				
9-25-73	filed.	1 1	2		
2-25-73 10-10-7 10-11-7 10-29-73 11-21-73 1-27-73 2-6-74 16-74 2-74	filed. Copy of letter of Clerk of Court filed dated Sept.25. 1973 addressed to relator herein re application, etc. BY COSTANTINO, J. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FILED why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued, etc. Copy of letter of Clerk of Court filed dated Oct. 11, 1973 re enclosure of a copy of order to show cause, etc. Affidavit of ANDREW LEE STEWART filed for Entry of Default, etc. Affidavit of IRIS A. STEEL, Assistant Atty., Gen., State of N., filed in opposition, etc. Letter of relator herein filed together with a reply from Clerk of Court dated Nov.27, 1973 re status of this matter, etc. Affidavit of Andrew Lee Stewart filed in reply to opposision. Letter of relator herein filed dated Feb. 2, 1974 together with a copy of letter of Clerk of Court dated Feb. 6, 1974 re status of this matter, etc. BY COSTANTINO, J. MEMORANDUM and ORDER FILED. THE APPLICATION IS DISMISSED. (See Memo., etc.) Letter of relator herein filed dated April 19, 1974 addressed to Clerk re issuance of a Certificate of Probable Cause, etc. BY COSTANTINO, J. MEMORANDUM and ORDER FILED. The relator is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis and a certificate	3 4 5 7 4 8 & 10 11 & 13	9		
	Of probable cause. (See Memo., etc.) A TRUE COPY ATTALY 7 , 1924 LEWIS ORGEL CLE ONLY COPY AVAILABLE				

U. S. DISTRICT COURT E.D. N.Y.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TIME A.M.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel. ANDREW LEE STEWART.

Petitioner,

73-C-1446 M'HILMED

recreioner

MEMORANDUM

LEON VINCENT, Superintendent of Green Haven Correctional Facility,

and ORDER

Respondent.

: APR 1 6 1974

Submitted: Andrew Lee Stewart, pro se

v.

COSTANTINO, D.J.

This is an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (1971). The relator is incarcerated in the Greenhaven Correctional Facility, Stormville, New York, pursuant to a judgment of conviction entered in June 1970, in the County Court, Suffolk County, New York, after a trial by jury on a charge of robbery in the first degree.

The judgment of conviction was unanimously affirmed without opinion by the Appellate Division, Second

FP1 M1-9 1-63-70M 2363

(13)

Department. Leave to appeal to the New York Court of Appeals was denied.

Essentially relator charges that numerous errors committed at the trial deprived him of a fair trial guaranteed by the due process clause of the fourteenth amendment. He has annexed to the application his thirteen point appellate brief which encompasses all of his present claims.

The principal claim of error is the trial court's refusal to suppress identification evidence. It is argued that pretrial identifications of the relator were the product of impermissibly suggestive procedures. Relator's picture had been selected by the victims of the robbery from a spread of six pictures not more than four days after the crime. Less than a month after the crime, during a felony hearing before a judge and in the presence of relator's attorney, one of the victims selected him from a large group of people sitting in the courtroom. The record is clear that the procedures followed in obtaining the pretrial identifications were not suggestive. Therefore, the trial court correctly refused to suppress the identification

testimony. <u>Neil v. Biggers</u>, 409 U.S. 188 (1972); <u>Simmons v. United States</u>, 390 U.S. 377 (1968); <u>Stovall v. Denno</u>, 388 U.S. 293 (1967).

The rest of relator's claims pertain to prejudicial statements made during the course of his trial and several adverse rulings of the trial judge. The court finds them to be without merit; for assuming arguendo that they are true and that they constitute errors under state law, they do not give rise to a violation of the federally protected right to a fundamentally fair trial. The claimed errors are of no substance, and neither singly nor in their totality are so egregious as to have deprived relator of a fair trial. United States ex rel. Birch v. Fay, 190 F.Supp. 105 (S.D.N.Y. 1961).

Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

U. S. D. J.

3

Certificate of Service

June 28, 1974

I certify that a copy of this brief and appendix has been mailed to the Attorney General of the State of New York.

Shala Disson

